

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- x
JAMEL SEVERE,

Plaintiff,

-against-

COMPLAINT

Jury Trial Demanded

CITY OF NEW YORK; Police Officer JOSEPH SPATARO, Shield No. 31616; UNDERCOVER POLICE OFFICER NO. 00239 and JOHN and JANE DOE 1 through 10, individually and in their official capacities (the names John and Jane Doe being fictitious, as the true names are presently unknown),

Defendants.

----- x

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action to recover money damages arising out of the violation of plaintiff's rights under the Constitution.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988, and the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States.

3. The jurisdiction of this Court is predicated upon 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1333 and 1367(a).

4. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 (b) and (c).

JURY DEMAND

5. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury in this action.

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff Jamel Severe (“plaintiff” or “Mr. Severe”) is a resident of Kings County in the City and State of New York.

7. Defendant City of New York is a municipal corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York. It operates the NYPD, a department or agency of defendant City of New York responsible for the appointment, training, supervision, promotion and discipline of police officers and supervisory police officers, including the individually named defendants herein.

8. Defendant Police Officer Joseph Spataro, Shield No. 31616 (“Spataro”), and Police Officer Undercover No. 00239 (“00239”) at all times relevant herein, was an officer, employee and agent of the NYPD. Defendant Spataro and 00239 are sued in their individual and official capacities.

9. At all times relevant defendants John and Jane Doe 1 through 10 were police officers, detectives or supervisors employed by the NYPD. Plaintiff does not know the real names and shield numbers of defendants John and Jane Doe 1 through 10.

10. At all times relevant herein, defendants John and Jane Doe 1 through 10 were acting as agents, servants and employees of defendant City of New York and the

NYPD. Defendants John and Jane Doe 1 through 10 are sued in their individual and official capacities.

11. At all times relevant herein, all individual defendants were acting under color of state law.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

12. At approximately 10:00 p.m. on May 14, 2014, plaintiff was in the passenger seat of a friend's parked vehicle in the vicinity the vicinity of 868 Park Ave., Brooklyn, New York.

13. A panhandler approached the car and asked for change. Plaintiff gave the man a few quarters.

14. Shortly thereafter, the defendant officers approached the vehicle and accused plaintiff of selling drugs. Plaintiff was forcibly pulled from his car in a violent manner without cause or justification.

15. Plaintiff explained that he did not sell drugs and had done nothing wrong. Defendant officers responded by telling plaintiff to "shut the fuck up."

16. Defendants searched plaintiff, finding neither contraband nor evidence of any crime. The officers then searched the vehicle's glove compartment and allegedly found a small, legal pocket-knife.

17. Despite the fact that they had no probable cause to believe that plaintiff had committed any crimes or offenses, the officers placed him under arrest.

18. Plaintiff was thrown into a police van and eventually taken to a police precinct.

19. At the precinct, the officers falsely informed employees of the Kings County District Attorney's Office that they had observed plaintiff commit a crime.

20. At no point did the officers observe plaintiff commit any crimes or offenses.

21. From the precinct, plaintiff was taken to Brooklyn Central Booking.

22. Plaintiff was then arraigned in Kings County Criminal Court, where his criminal charges were adjourned in contemplation of dismissal.

23. After approximately twenty-four (24) hours in custody, plaintiff was released with an Adjournment in Contemplation of Dismissal.

24. Plaintiff suffered damage as a result of defendants' actions. Plaintiff was deprived of his liberty, suffered emotional distress, mental anguish, fear, pain, bodily injury, anxiety, embarrassment, humiliation, and damage to his reputation.

FIRST CLAIM
42 U.S.C. § 1983 Federal Civil Rights Violations

25. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation as if fully set forth herein.

26. All of the aforementioned acts of defendants, their agents, servants and employees were carried out under the color of law.

27. All of the aforementioned acts deprived plaintiff of the rights, privileges and immunities guaranteed to citizens of the United States by the First, Fourth, Fifth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States of America, and in violation of 42 U.S.C. §1983.

28. The acts complained of were carried out by the aforementioned individual defendants in their capacities as police officers, with all the actual and/or apparent authority attendant thereto.

29. The acts complained of were carried out by the aforementioned individual defendants in their capacities as police officers, pursuant to the customs, usages, practices, procedures, and rules of the City of New York and the New York City Police Department, all under the supervision of ranking officers of said department.

30. Defendants, collectively and individually, while acting under color of state law, engaged in conduct which constituted a custom, usage, practice, procedure or rule of his/her respective municipality/authority, which is forbidden by the Constitution of the United States.

SECOND CLAIM
Unlawful Stop and Search

31. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation as if fully set forth herein.

32. Defendants violated the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments because they stopped and searched plaintiff without reasonable suspicion.

33. As a direct and proximate result of this unlawful conduct, plaintiff sustained the damages herein before alleged.

THIRD CLAIM
False Arrest

34. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation as if fully set forth herein.

35. Defendants violated the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments because they arrested plaintiff without probable cause.

36. As a direct and proximate result of this unlawful conduct, Plaintiff sustained the damages hereinbefore alleged.

FOURTH CLAIM
Unreasonable Force

37. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation as if fully set forth herein.

38. The defendants violated the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments because they used unreasonable force on plaintiff.

39. As a direct and proximate result of this unlawful conduct, plaintiff sustained the damages hereinbefore alleged.

FIFTH CLAIM
Denial Of Constitutional Right To Fair Trial

40. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation as if fully set forth herein.

41. The individual defendants created false evidence against Plaintiff.

42. The individual defendants forwarded false evidence to prosecutors in the Kings County District Attorney's office.

43. In creating false evidence against Plaintiff, and in forwarding false information to prosecutors, the individual defendants violated Plaintiff's constitutional right to a fair trial under the Due Process Clause of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution.

44. As a direct and proximate result of this unlawful conduct, Plaintiff sustained the damages hereinbefore alleged.

SIXTH CLAIM
Malicious Abuse Of Process

45. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation as if fully set forth herein.

46. The individual defendants issued legal process to place Plaintiff under arrest.

47. The individual defendants arrested Plaintiff in order to obtain collateral objectives outside the legitimate ends of the legal process, to wit, to cover up their assault of him.

48. The individual defendants acted with intent to do harm to Plaintiff without excuse or justification.

49. As a direct and proximate result of this unlawful conduct, Plaintiff sustained the damages hereinbefore alleged.

SEVENTH CLAIM
Failure To Intervene

50. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation as if fully set forth herein.

51. Those defendants that were present but did not actively participate in the aforementioned unlawful conduct observed such conduct, had an opportunity prevent such conduct, had a duty to intervene and prevent such conduct and failed to intervene.

52. Accordingly, the defendants who failed to intervene violated the First, Fourth, Fifth And Fourteenth Amendments.

53. As a direct and proximate result of this unlawful conduct, Plaintiff sustained the damages hereinbefore alleged.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff respectfully requests judgment against defendants as follows:

- (a) Compensatory damages against all defendants, jointly and severally;
- (b) Punitive damages against the individual defendants, jointly and severally;
- (c) Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1988; and
- (d) Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DATED: December 3, 2014
New York, New York

/s

Amy Rameau
16 Court Street, 25th Floor
New York, New York 10007
(718) 887-5536

Attorney for plaintiff